



This is a digital copy of a book that was preserved for generations on library shelves before it was carefully scanned by Google as part of a project to make the world's books discoverable online.

It has survived long enough for the copyright to expire and the book to enter the public domain. A public domain book is one that was never subject to copyright or whose legal copyright term has expired. Whether a book is in the public domain may vary country to country. Public domain books are our gateways to the past, representing a wealth of history, culture and knowledge that's often difficult to discover.

Marks, notations and other marginalia present in the original volume will appear in this file - a reminder of this book's long journey from the publisher to a library and finally to you.

Usage guidelines

Google is proud to partner with libraries to digitize public domain materials and make them widely accessible. Public domain books belong to the public and we are merely their custodians. Nevertheless, this work is expensive, so in order to keep providing this resource, we have taken steps to prevent abuse by commercial parties, including placing technical restrictions on automated querying.

We also ask that you:

- + *Make non-commercial use of the files* We designed Google Book Search for use by individuals, and we request that you use these files for personal, non-commercial purposes.
- + *Refrain from automated querying* Do not send automated queries of any sort to Google's system: If you are conducting research on machine translation, optical character recognition or other areas where access to a large amount of text is helpful, please contact us. We encourage the use of public domain materials for these purposes and may be able to help.
- + *Maintain attribution* The Google "watermark" you see on each file is essential for informing people about this project and helping them find additional materials through Google Book Search. Please do not remove it.
- + *Keep it legal* Whatever your use, remember that you are responsible for ensuring that what you are doing is legal. Do not assume that just because we believe a book is in the public domain for users in the United States, that the work is also in the public domain for users in other countries. Whether a book is still in copyright varies from country to country, and we can't offer guidance on whether any specific use of any specific book is allowed. Please do not assume that a book's appearance in Google Book Search means it can be used in any manner anywhere in the world. Copyright infringement liability can be quite severe.

About Google Book Search

Google's mission is to organize the world's information and to make it universally accessible and useful. Google Book Search helps readers discover the world's books while helping authors and publishers reach new audiences. You can search through the full text of this book on the web at <http://books.google.com/>

From the
Fine Arts Library
Fogg Art Museum
Harvard University

Honoré Daumier

*APPRECIATIONS
OF HIS LIFE AND WORKS*



*The Phillips Publications.
Number Two*

*E. P. Dutton & Company
New York*

1922

Transferred from
~~FA 6288.3.18~~

Mar. 4, 1964

Copyright, 1922, by E. P. Dutton & Company of New York City

~~3938~~
~~1224w~~
~~e.2~~



FA 3938.176.220

copy B

Printing House of William Edwin Rudge, New York City

CONTENTS

	PAGE
ANNOUNCEMENT	3
PHILLIPS PUBLICATIONS	11
ARTICLE	13
<i>By Duncan Phillips</i>	
ARTICLE	37
<i>By Frank Jewett Mather, Jr.</i>	
ARTICLE	49
<i>By Guy Pène du Bois</i>	
ARTICLE	53
<i>By Mahonri Young</i>	
BIBLIOGRAPHY	59

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Honoré Daumier

PHOTOGRAPH

Portrait of Daumier as a Young Man

By Corot, 1836. Petit Palais, Paris

Le Peintre devant son tableau

(25 x 30 cm.)

La Blanchisseuse

(34 x 49 cm.) Bureau Collection, Paris

La Blanchisseuse

(32 x 45 cm.) Collection Gallimard, Paris

La République

*1848. Leinwand (60 x 73 cm.) Collection Moreau-Nélaton,
Louvre, Paris*

Le Coup de vent, ou Les Fugitifs

(31 x 16 cm.) Collection Mme. Esnault-Pelterie, Paris

Les Emigrants, ou Les Fugitifs

(67 x 38 cm.) Collection Sarlin, Paris

Don Quixote

Metropolitan Museum, New York

Ecce homo, ou Nous voulons Barrabas

(127 x 160 cm.) Collection Osthaus, Hagen

Le Meunier, son Fils et son Âne

Trois Avocats

Phillips Collection

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

Mère et Enfant

Phillips Collection

Le Repos des Saltimbanques

(62 x 54 cm.) *Collection Joubert, Paris*

Hercule de foire

(32 x 25 cm.)

Saltimbanques

Scène de la Révolution

Le Malade imaginaire

(38 x 27 cm.) *Collection Mme. Esnault Pelterie, Paris*

La Lecture du manuscrit

(30 x 21 cm.)

Paysans dinant

Le Wagon de 3e Classe

Collection de M. Paul Gallimard

Les Baigneurs

Don Quixote

Caricatures in Painted Clay

Les Fugitifs de la sagesse

Bronze. Courtesy of Knoedler & Co.

Au Théâtre français

Aquarell. (34 x 27 cm.)

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

L'Arrivée du train

Phillips Collection

Un Wagon de troisième classe

(31 x 21.5 cm.) Aquarell, Durand Ruel

Dans l'atelier d'un artiste

Aquarell (30 x 32 cm.) South Kensington Museum

L'Amateur

Aquarell. (35 x 44 cm.)

La Chanson à boire

Aquarell. (36 x 26 cm.)

Le Défenseur

(29 x 19 cm.) Water color. Col. Am. A. Clark, New York

Après l'audience

Aquarell. (35 x 28 cm.) Mme. Esnault Pelterie, Paris

Les Jaseurs

L'Ivresse de Silène

Salon 1850-51. Musée de Calais

Don Quixote

Pen and Ink Drawing

Drawing

Collection Arthur B. Davies

Woodcuts

LIST OF ILLUSTRATIONS

*Une Promenade sur la rive de la Seine à l'époque de la
canicule*

Dans la maison de retraite à deux heures du soir

Le Théâtre

Woodcut

Les Patrons

Woodcut

Jules Favre

Jour de naissance de Papa

Le Bain chaud

Lithograph

D'ici vous pouvez voir la Tour de St. Jacques

Un Jour de Revue

Ratapoil et son état major. "Vive l'Empereur!"

Aux Champs-Élysées

*De trois heures à six heures, grande exposition des nouveaux
jupons-ballons*

HONORÉ DAUMIER

ANNOUNCEMENT

ANNOUNCEMENT

The Phillips Memorial Gallery is now open to the public at 1600 Twenty-first Street, N. W., Washington, D. C. This is to be the home of the collection for several years while plans for the permanent building are in a formative and plastic state. Although it is too soon to make definite announcements of all that we intend to do, since force of circumstances or changed conditions may cause our best laid plans to be altered or amended, nevertheless the time is ripe for telling about the treasures and for creating an interest in the special and novel character of the Phillips Memorial. It is to be a home for the fine arts and a home for all those who love art and go to it for solace and spiritual refreshment. We wish therefore to create an atmosphere which is attractive and intimate rather than grandiose and institutional; where visitors will feel inclined to linger and to which they will wish to return again and again for a special sort of pleasure or for special study. The ultimate building must not be large, no matter to what size the collection may grow. Our idea is not to show all of our treasures at once, but in ever varied and purposeful exhibitions, arranging the collection in units which would be frequently changed so that the walls of the various rooms would undergo interesting transformations. No crowding of the walls nor dis-

ANNOUNCEMENT

figuring additions to the building as the collections grow can ever be permitted to destroy the harmony of our rooms and the essentially domestic character of the architecture as a whole. In the fireproof storage vaults light and air will be supplied and the paintings hung on sliding screens so that they can be at all times available to the visitors. These storage vaults should be made to communicate with the auditorium which we propose to have for plays, concerts and lectures, and with the rooms for special study so that the lecturers and the students may avail themselves of the actual works of art and not have to resort to lantern slides and photographs. We intend to have an art library containing especially books on the painters represented in the collection, also portfolios of prints and of original drawings. It is not our present intention to conduct an art school in the commonly accepted sense of the word. There may be studios in the building. We propose that the architecture shall be of some domestic type combining sensuous and subtle beauty with simplicity and quiet charm—some such low and rambling type, adaptable to sky-lit galleries, as the Italian Villa or our own Southern Colonial manor house. We hope for a site commanding a view of the beautiful city of Washington, for wooded grounds laid out with terraces and gardens modified like the building itself, if Italian, to conform with our national

ANNOUNCEMENT

character and appropriate not only to the architecture but to the local conditions of topography and climate.

It is proposed that each room in the building shall be regarded as an æsthetic unit, the decorative accessories changed with the changes in the exhibitions. Occasionally rooms will be devoted to the display of the best works by selected artists, rooms which will represent them from every aspect of their character and genius, and to which their admirers will wish to make pilgrimages to renew their interest and to refresh their faith. Occasionally rooms will be given educational intention. The origin and growth of certain æsthetic tendencies will be traced back to the early periods of art's history. The collection is to be devoted essentially to the art of our own time, but works of any period, no matter how remote, may be purchased or borrowed for educational purposes. In this we can show the artist's evolving and revolving interests and aptitudes. Groups of works by artists of similar temperaments and of related aims would have special appeal for kindred spirits and would help to clarify for students certain significant aspects of the creative impulse through the ages. The exhibition units will be composed not only of groups of related artists showing similar training and intention, but also of other groups teaching by means of contrasted merits that in the house of art there are many man-

ANNOUNCEMENT

sions. It will be interesting to present contrasts of similar subjects treated at different times in different manners, thus teaching history and art simultaneously, to their mutual advantage. Besides the rearrangement and alternating reviews of the permanent collections in the various rooms, there will be a long gallery devoted to all kinds of exhibitions of contemporary art. Our most enthusiastic purpose will be to reveal the richness of the art created in our United States, to stimulate our native artists and afford them inspiration. In all the rooms the setting will be carefully planned and executed with the object of enhancing the effect of the paintings, of emphasizing their essential character and of producing a sympathetic background and a perfect ensemble. For instance, in the Twachtman room, those who know the marvelous nuances of color, opalescent and phosphorescent, in the works of this great master will be delighted to find these subtle felicities echoed in the background in choice bits of Chinese pottery, Persian lustre ware or Greek glass. To complete the ensemble of the room, imagine a black carpet and a wall like that in our present gallery, where a gray, transparent mesh hangs over the plaster, which is toned a delicate apricot. Only time can tell whether our funds will be sufficient to purchase antique potteries and porcelain, bronzes and ivories, carved furniture, tapestry, stained glass. Our modern sculp-

ANNOUNCEMENT

tors however, are quite as distinguished as our painters and worthy of the same support and faith, and as for our potters and goldsmiths, our craftsmen of all kinds, if they are yet inferior to the men of the earlier dynasties of the Orient or of the middle age of Europe, it is only a question of relative quality. By our encouragement of native and contemporary work we can bring about another era of loving and inspired handicraft which will further glorify the meaning of common things. It will not be our intention to compete with the great museums in assembling objects of historic interest nor to have every phase and period in the history of fine and applied art represented with examples. We must specialize in painting, more particularly in modern painting, and it will be our pleasure to show how our American artists maintain their equality with, if not indeed their superiority to, their more famous foreign contemporaries. In order to make better known throughout the world the living American artists whose work we believe to be of enduring worth, we propose to inaugurate the policy of devoting each year to a foreign or American gallery the work of an American artist who had not hitherto been represented in that collection.

We are making no effort as yet to raise sums of money through benefactions from wealthy patrons—to which course we would turn only in case we later decided to enlarge the educational scope

ANNOUNCEMENT

of the gallery and employ a staff of resident workers and specialists. Such a change of policy would bring us into competition with the museums of the country which at present we regard with respect from the distance that separates the private dwelling house from the institution of learning. Of course we mean to afford ample opportunities for study. Our lectures will not be comic monologues, nor our concerts, jazz, nor our library shelves devoted to magazines on the movies. Nevertheless we wish to create an atmosphere of culture in which people will feel mentally stimulated with a desire for knowledge but one in which there is no air of academic wisdom and formality. In other words *we wish to popularize what is best in art by the attractiveness of our methods of presentation without making concessions to the public in matters of taste or in the standards set for works of art endorsed by the gallery.* If we can make our visitors feel at home in the midst of beautiful things and subconsciously stimulated while consciously rested and refreshed, we shall feel that they will eventually absorb the point of view of our artists and remain thereafter on the same æsthetic levels. We believe that it is never right to make art easy and popular at the risk of making it commercial and indolently conventional. If a renaissance of art is to come in our time it must come not from the ever devoted few but from the awakened interest and enlightened patronage of the many. Our

ANNOUNCEMENT

hope, therefore, is that by bringing art to the people in the most attractive way without lowering our standards we may relate beauty to their lives for their inspiration and solace without relinquishing our duty of guiding them to the heights of art and of keeping the fires burning on our own altars.

We are conscious of a desire to demonstrate that what we are doing others can do, and that similar Memorial Galleries can be launched wherever there is a wish and a need for them. We would be glad if our building could be ultimately made a memorial composed of memorials; in other words, of rooms or exhibition units dedicated to the memory of the beloved dead. We shall specialize in modern painting. Our inspired predecessor, Mr. Freer, specialized in Chinese kakemonos. The next Memorial Gallery might specialize in Italian primitives, or in Colonial furniture, or in Flemish tapestries, or in Gothic glass. If our plan is worthy of our effort it will be as a beacon light for others. It is practical and in pursuit of two definite democratic ideals—the ideal of art and the ideal of service.

Although we are dreaming already of the remote future and planning for posterity, yet we welcome interest in our modest beginnings and we invite all who care to come to the two small rooms which must constitute for the present the home of the Phillips Memorial Art Gallery.

“THE PHILLIPS PUBLICATIONS”

We plan to take the Public into our confidence at the outset of our enterprise and to send the preceding announcement far and wide.

As the exhibition units become ready for presentation to the Public we shall welcome dignified publicity. Throughout the formative period in the life of the Phillips Memorial we wish to proclaim its often unprecedented purposes and to cultivate the soil of public opinion. Thus we hope to stimulate a demand for what we can supply. The work of criticism and commentary, can and must accompany and even anticipate our exhibitions.

Just as in our exhibitions we shall show American painting and European painting side by side, instead of exhibiting our native work separately as if it were a by-product, so we propose to publish two series of books on Art in which American painting will be regarded as part of the main channel of all artistic progress.

First there will be a series of monographs on great artists of the past represented in the Phillips Memorial collection. The text will be written by various critics of established reputation on subjects which make to them a special appeal.

Cooperating with Duncan Phillips in the preparation of these papers will be such distinguished authors and artists as

Frank Jewett Mather, Jr.
Royal Cortissoz
Guy Pène du Bois
Mahonri Young

and others.

“THE PHILLIPS PUBLICATIONS”

These numbered monographs will offer symposia of appreciation, compact information and many illustrations (the Weir book will have 32 illustrations; the Daumier 48), relating to the careers of great artists whose lives are finished and whose work thereafter can be completely considered in successive stages of development and in every medium through which they have expressed themselves.

In our second series of art books we shall dare to declare our faith in and our admiration for the work of some living painters and we hope to help them win the fame, esteem, and influence they deserve during their lives, instead of discreetly waiting until after they are gone for time to appraise their achievement.

This second series will contain a number of short essays by Duncan Phillips on the art of painters living and dead, foreign and American, thus affording a variety of interest and a contrast of artistic temperaments and purposes.

The illustrations will be confined to reproductions of paintings in the Phillips Memorial collection.

These half-tone prints, including some in color, will be published from our negatives, separate from the books, on sheets or cards of several sizes, to be sold at a low cost for the special benefit of artists and students of art. There will also be reprints in pamphlet form of the essays in both series of books especially printed for the use of artists and students.

Lantern slides will be furnished to lecturers on application.

HONORÉ DAUMIER

By Duncan Phillips

IN his day Honoré Daumier was celebrated as a caricaturist and only a few of the more discerning artists and critics realized that he was one of the giants of Art, one of the salient individualities of the nineteenth century. Of the Old Masters only Michael Angelo surpassed him in giving to abstract thought plastic expression. The wonder is that he was able to do this, not from his inner consciousness in hours of deep meditation with the help of far sought models, but in the midst of his professional labors, from casual contact with the turbulent life of the streets of Paris, where he would see the drama of ordinary experience enacted on all sides and would seize the moments when expressive movements of forms full of character revealed to him meanings profound in their significance. Also he could suggest the rhythm of life itself in the continuity of his line. In this power he resembles Tintoretto and El Greco, as also in the way his figures are modeled in light which reveals their rotundity while accentuating their expression. It is of Rembrandt, however, that we think most often as we look at Daumier's greatest paintings, for these two artists were passionately solicitous for human suffering and with a keen sense of the beauty of tragedy.

HONORÉ DAUMIER

Those of us who recognize in Daumier one of the world's great artists are inclined to shed biographical tears, when we speak or write about him, because he toiled for forty years as a caricaturist. It is sad to read of the contract he made with Charivari for eight cartoons a month, of the four thousand lithographs reproduced in that one periodical, while he was kept in a state, if not of actual captivity, at least of what amounted to servitude by his own specialized success, by the reputation he made at an early age for himself and his editors, by the joint forces of expediency and habit and popular demand. Knowing his seriousness and his ambition, we lament the fact that he never found leisure to cultivate the fruits of his spirit. We resent the constraint and the painful efforts to keep playing the part under which his work as a caricaturist must have placed him. We recall other instances of the curse of comedy, of the tragedy of enforced humor. We wonder how he found time to do the beautiful things he did, how he managed to make himself at the first possible moment not only a painter but a great one. The noble, monumental works of art which he might have painted torment us. Today we rank him with the greatest of the great. Is it only on the quality of his few paintings executed between 1850 and 1864, while taking a vacation from Charivari, that we are to estimate his place in history? Emphat-

APPRECIATIONS

ically, no. The fallacy of our pathos is that the lithographs were not hack-work at all, but full of genius, not handicaps to his success but stepping-stones to his complete self-realization.

The lithographs of Daumier not only constitute the bulk of his life work but express him more fully and freely than a life-time entirely devoted to painting would have done. If, like Millet, heedless of failure and reconciled to poverty and neglect, he had celebrated all his life long the heroic aspects of the lowly, or if, like Delacroix, he had been absorbed in technical experiments, and in romantic dreams, or if, like Courbet and Manet, he had been a "chef d'école" of realism, at war with the academies; if he had painted in any one such way or in each of them by turns to the limit of heart's desire there is doubt whether he would have achieved a more distinguished place in the history of art than we now accord to him for his life work as a satirical draftsman of the "bourgeoisie," who permitted himself one splendid digression into painting with results gloriously distinguished in style and all the more precious to us because limited in quantity. And the lithographs are inherently important. We delight in their unfailing observation of physical and mental absurdities, their amazing insight and intuition. Here are evidences enough of consummate genius not only for satire and for philosophical realism, but also

HONORÉ DAUMIER

for a pictorial style upon which the best contemporary art has been modeled. Daumier spoke, in his drawings as in his paintings, with the unmistakable accent of greatness, a sonorous language of balanced light and shade, line and mass. The art of the painter may be sensed in the lithographs with their rich velvety blacks and delicately modulated half-tones. His forte was the silhouette. What an affluence of these in the prints! And what a preparation for the paintings he received with his long practice of drawing from intensive observation and memory of only the expressive and essential lines. At no art school could he have learned so well what to eliminate, how to abbreviate, how to reduce a theme to its simplest terms. However, only a very few comprehended the art that was in the lithographs. Their success was due to their commentary on contemporary life and manners. Never have there been better caricatures, never pictorial satire more comprehensible and at the same time more profound. His world and his time needed the mind and the heart of this man, needed all it could get of him day after day, every flash of his wit, every spark from his spirit, every shaft of illumination he could cast on men and events. His paintings were scorned as negligible, since at that period it was taught that paintings should not descend to the level of actual life, especially the life of the lower

APPRECIATIONS

classes. He might have changed all this, fought and won the fight for realism then and there, but that was the destined work for Courbet and Manet. Daumier inspired these men and forged their weapons. His fight was not aesthetic but philosophical. Drawing for the people in their own funny papers, he made them share his hate and scorn for injustice and cruelty and sham and called them to enjoy his good-natured teasing and mimicking of "Les bons bourgeois," "Les drôles papas," "Les bas bleus" and all the rest of the familiar Parisian types he lived with and laughed at and loved. If he had never been an illustrator the world would be the poorer for comprehensive and profound studies of human nature, for revelations of the master passions, the secret springs which control desires and destinies. He drew a veritable *Comédie Humaine*, the people revealing themselves as they do in the immortal novels. In fact the art of Daumier in his lithographs is the pictorial counterpart of the art of Balzac. There are of course, striking differences. Daumier had more sentiment, Balzac more daring. Balzac divined what he never witnessed and attempted to interpret every class. Daumier's drawings had an influence on the masses and came therefore under the control of the censor who compelled him occasionally to spare the Church, the Army and the State. As for the aristocracy, it was out of his range and even when visible he

HONORÉ DAUMIER

found it so schooled in reserve, so clever in concealments, that he did not attempt to penetrate its disguises, preferring to confine himself to classes wherein people are more inclined by temperament to spontaneous self-revelation. If it had not been for Daumier's contract with *Charivari* we would never have suspected the range of his observations, the potency of his satire, the scathing power of his scorn, and, "au fond," the genial kindliness of his spirit. Through no other channel than journalism could he have been such a force for good, such a constructive, corrective influence. As a painter he was first and last an artist, scrupulous not to teach or preach where teaching or preaching are out of place. In his lithographs the artist was secondary to the satirist, the philosophical observer of the passing show, and gladly and eagerly he let himself go, rejoicing in the racy spectacle of human life and in the chance to draw a moral or adorn a tale and extract a joke. Make no mistake, Daumier was no victim of press and public. He was well content with his special field and proud of his power and supremacy therein.

Paternal influence had a great deal to do with shaping his career as a cartoonist and with reconciling him to the exacting demands of that vocation. Honoré's father was a glazier who once aspired to write verse. His failure to be taken seriously as a poet humiliated him and convinced him that art is a delusion and a snare. He

APPRECIATIONS

bade his boy beware of artistic ambition as if it were a deadly sin. Honoré was therefore put to work running errands and later serving writs in a Court House. There he received vivid early impressions of "les gens de justice," and they seemed malevolent and sinister to him from that time on. His instinct for drawing was not to be denied and in the end Daumier père acquiesced. I suppose it was very constantly on the boy's mind to convince his father that he could make his living with his pencil. As a painter the boy would have had to laboriously make his way and fail to prove his point if he failed to hit the popular fancy. So he decided to study lithography and to draw cartoons which could be reproduced in periodicals. The editor of *La Caricature*, known as Philipon, was a man of powerful personality. He fired his staff of young men with his own political principles and made them all ardent propagandists. Apparently young Daumier was genuinely interested in politics and with a strong Republican bias. Philipon made him into a furious fighter for democratic ideals, a formidable foe to Louis Philippe and his ministers. His portraits of famous personages like Guizot and Thiers were carefully prepared. First he studied his victim with that penetrating all-seeing eye of his, then after fixing the man in his marvellous memory, he would model him in clay, the salient points of him at least, then he would fire

HONORÉ DAUMIER

away with his pencil and his drawings were full of the freshness of his first impression and the sense of volume gained through his practice with sculpture. In spite of the caricaturist's willful exaggeration, the likenesses were said to be startling. Philipon and Daumier became dangerous to the government and finally *La Caricature* was suppressed. With probable relief, Daumier turned to *Charivari* and drew one series after another of quietly humorous studies of plain people of many types. I have no space here to even suggest that quality of these famous drawings nor to describe their subjects nor to comment on their satire. They were caricatures of a familiar kind made for popular entertainment. But they were more than that. Human forms moved obedient to dominant instincts and the goads of special and secret desires. These forms were modeled in light and shade, the stone left bare for the strong lights, the dark notes laid in with a painter's sense for colorful mass. The line was alternately ludicrous and portentous, mock heroic, fantastic, deliciously comic. The harmless and amiable idiosyncrasies of the average middle-class person were as interesting to Daumier as the desperate ideas of the derelict and the devious wiles of the wicked.

The revolution of 1848 was a signal for Daumier to take up again the weapons of political satire but his heart was no longer

APPRECIATIONS

in the work. Although he never tired of studying humanity yet he longed for leisure to paint from an artist's instead of a journalist's point of view. About 1850 he began to paint in water-color and oil and to exhibit occasionally at the Salon. His work was received coldly with shrugs of faint praise covering general consternation or else with torrents of protest, meant to be friendly, against the abandonment of his special field in which he was the undisputed master. Daumier had discounted all this and he was prepared for misunderstanding, failure and neglect. He was painting consciously for posterity, as well as for his own pleasure and in justice to his own genius. About 1860 he resigned from *Charivari* to devote his time to painting while even his friends shook their heads with grave concern and warning. They were right. Daumier could not sell a picture, and in 1864 he was compelled to return to his drawing board and his caricatures. He painted for a few years more when occasion permitted, but the approach of the Franco-Prussian war fired his patriot spirit and he felt that his country needed his powerful commentary. It was only when his sight began to fail that he gradually retired from his arduous professional labors to his little home in the village of Valmondois, given him by his friend Corot. He had saved little or nothing from his salary, having always been impractical and improvident and

HONORÉ DAUMIER

generous beyond his means. In the end it was he who had to accept help from friends to save him from actual want. Although totally blind during his last years, and these must have been a premature death to one whose eyes had been so important, yet he was happy in the contemplation of posthumous fame, especially after the retrospective exhibition of his work at Durand-Ruel's gallery in 1878, when all intellectual and artistic Paris rendered homage to his genius, and even his paintings came into their own. He died the following year.

The paintings of Daumier may for convenience be considered categorically, according to their subjects. First there are the satires on the Law Courts of Paris. Ever since his experience as a boy when in corridors and court rooms he had gazed at the powerful, portentous personages in black gowns who held, it seemed, human lives in the hollow of their hands and human hearts under the lash of their scornful and remorseless logic, Daumier had promised himself some day to strike back at the bullies and hypocrites of the law and to hit them hard. He fulfilled this intention and none of his satires seem more humane, more earnestly purposeful, than those in which he withers with scorn the contemptuous carelessness of prejudiced judges or the mock emotion of a counsel for the defense, working himself up to actual tears in his appeal on behalf

APPRECIATIONS

of the innocence of a habitual criminal, or the grim and curious alliance of a stately and austere attorney with a degenerate and beastly client taking counsel together in whispers how they may evade the law, or the meaningful exchange of glances between two lawyers as they gather up their papers at the end of a trial, the successful veteran of many unscrupulous arguments enjoying his latest triumph and saying "I told you so" to a colleague who has just called him again a "clever devil." I could refer to many more of Daumier's celebrated exposés of the legal profession in the Paris of his day. The pictorial possibilities of these subjects appealed to him as a designer and especially as an emotional painter. He gave us the silhouette of the capped and gowned "avocats" against bare, illuminated walls, the drama of cast shadows, of dimly seen distances containing groups of figures vaguely suggesting tragedies of the kind we touch in passing, and that pale light which enters obliquely from unseen windows and diffuses itself with dreary and discouraging coldness over these scenes of intrigue and suspense, of turmoil and trouble. Especially beautiful are the black gowns and the white walls when daylight falls upon them for an accidental moment of beauty in the midst of cruel bleakness. Velasquez and Terborch never surpassed Daumier in revealing the sensuous colorfulness of luminous blacks and ivory whites, nor are the

HONORÉ DAUMIER

shadows of Rembrandt more mysterious or marvellous as envelopment for figures. It is real air we breathe in these court rooms of Daumier's, and the special odor of it we seem to remember.

In *Charivari* one of the most popular of Daumier's series of lithographs dealt with the disordered lives of derelicts, drunkards, beggars, parasites and enemies of society. These he satirized with scorn which suggested that no civilization can be considered worthy of the name which permits such wretches to live at large and multiply their species. In his paintings he analyzed the vagabond instinct of man and found a class of Bohemians sharing the irresponsible waywardness of the utterly worthless, yet ennobled by qualities for which Daumier had special sympathy. In this class belong the professional clowns, wrestlers, gypsies, itinerant peddlers, fiddlers and nomadic adventurers of every sort—odd, eccentric, overgrown children who are the world's hired entertainers. They are the descendants of the jesters and jugglers of the Middle Ages, men whose misfortune it is that they cannot be taken seriously, but are set apart, by reason of their physical and mental peculiarities, to be buffoons. That such men have capacities for deep feeling and serious reflection, that they have the same problems, passions and sorrows as other men, personal troubles which must be concealed lest they interfere with their destined work of

APPRECIATIONS

making the world laugh, Daumier saw this truth and insisted that we should see it. Shakespeare, who conceived the character of the heroic Fool in *Lear*, diverting his royal master's tormented mind from its agonies by his antics, would have recognized in Daumier a passionate sympathy like his own for the poor chap who must jest though his health is spent and though his heart is broken. Our artist's personal tragedy is delicately suggested, his own subjection to caricatures, his impending need at the time to return to it since no one would buy his serious paintings. I do not mean to say that Daumier was ever in any sense a mere comedian, for I think I have made it sufficiently clear that in his caricatures he was a person of national power and importance and that he exulted in the exercise of his humorous faculties, putting much of his art into it as well. But the point of view of the artist was Daumier's natural angle of vision and the fact that this point of view had to be suppressed and that of the journalist substituted, this is a real tragedy and adds a poignant personal note to his paintings of professional entertainers who have to be funny because the world wills it and not because they are so inclined. It would be difficult to find a more haunting picture than that of the tired mountebank, resting behind the scenes. His gymnasts, wrestlers and boxers, for all their big muscles, are weary, body and soul. The choice of such

HONORÉ DAUMIER

x themes was unprecedented. Daumier was one of the pioneers in depicting the gay and debonair heedlessness of consequences which charms us in the lives of gypsies and vagabonds, strolling players and street musicians, poets and artists who live gayly in garrets, wondering where the next meal is coming from, laughing and singing in the teeth of fate. Every adversity they turn into adventure to be met with becoming bravado. Our grim and sordid old world is their playground. The theme is as old as the troubadours and François Villon. It lived again in Murger's *Vie de Bohème*, in Stevenson's *Providence* and the *Guitar*, in Locke's *Beloved Vagabond*, in Synge's *Tramp in the Shadow of the Glen*. Daumier saw the glamour, but being a realist saw also the tragedy. In one memorable painting fugitives are seen in fantastic silhouette against a fierce, lurid sunset sky as they hurry down a winding trail in a wild country, lashed by the wind, pursued by enemies, seeking escape, "anywhere, anywhere, out of the world." We recall Bret Harte's immortal tale, *The Outcasts of Poker Flat*.

In his paintings Daumier was often a dramatist as well as a painter, but he was too much of an artist as well as too much of a humorist to ever paint melodrama or mere burlesque. He had caused so many sensations with his caricatures that he was cured in advance of any desire he might have ~~have~~ had to paint sensa-

APPRECIATIONS

tional canvases for the Salon. He had told so many grim and funny stories and preached so many sermons showing the horrible example and made so many grotesque images to lampoon the lamentable specimens of the human race that there was no danger of his deliberately diluting the pure aesthetic purpose which he cherished for his paintings with any such irrelevance as an infusion of the over-emphatic satire of his journalist days. This is something that Daumier's imitators would do well to understand. What they stupidly imitate in their paintings is the mere journalese of the master's caricatures, not the art that is in them and not the ancient and universal language of pure plastic beauty which he used in his paintings. To be sure he aspired to interpret modernity, but always through art which is ageless. Subjects drawn from the life of Paris, its river banks, its streets, its station waiting-rooms and railroad compartments, its theatres and other places of amusement, where plebeian types congregate and reveal themselves so clearly—these subjects were dignified in Daumier's paintings by his unfailing respect for his aesthetic medium and by the principles of restraint and harmony which give to the true artist's observations of character some quality or other of beauty. Millet's attitude was much the same. What Millet did for the French peasant, Daumier did for the bourgeois of Paris. Both men use

HONORÉ DAUMIER

individuals as representative of classes and classes as expressions of ideas and ideas as the proper material for the painter in giving to particular aspects of the spectacle of human life some general and universal significance. This quality of observation in Daumier entitles him to higher rank as an artist than belongs to satirists such as Goya and Hogarth, who resemble him in many ways, chiefly in that they are all gifted with the flair for beautiful paint. But Goya's satire was a matter of satanic hate and morbid revery and Hogarth's of didactic discourse on the frailties of human nature, whereas Daumier, like Balzac, was conscious always of having to do with the epic of facts and with the beauty of truth, with some meaning perhaps which may become apparent, some gestures which may express states of soul if we study with sufficient sympathy the endless procession of life wherever we see it passing. Daumier, through his window, could see a splendid woman of the people bathing her man-child and her household linen in the river, and respectfully he watched her as she managed somehow to carry the bundle of wash while assisting her small son to climb the steep steps, one by one. Her strength, her adequacy for her task, all are revealed in the silhouette of her shoulders and arms against the white light shed on the river and on the row of vivid white tenements of the opposite shore. No sensa-

APPRECIATIONS

tionalism and no sentimentality here, only the beauty of truth trained to seek and find for painting what is both picturesque and emotionally significant—in line, in light, in color, and most of all in form. When he painted a group of men singing in lusty chorus, watching their leader, the rollicking mirth of “close harmony” in their eyes, or else a party of hunters, thawing out with the help of pipe and bowl before a blazing fire, a faithful old hound in their midst, sharing the good cheer and delicious relaxation of the moment, Daumier was no longer a caricaturist looking for something to cover with ridicule but the artist citing two instances in which that blessed boon, good fellowship, may be sensed in all its heart-warming splendor. Then there are the paintings of artist life, and of that world where in studios pictures are born and where in galleries amateurs congregate. These Daumiers are perhaps the least important but they are the most personal of all. In them our artist pays a tribute of genuine sentiment and affection to that world to which he belonged but from which the exigencies of his career estranged him. All his life Daumier drew pictures which were successful exactly in proportion to their facility of comprehension by the public, but when his chance came to paint he painted for himself and for those rare individuals who care for aesthetic values. His heart went out with special

HONORÉ DAUMIER

affection to the patron of art and the friend of artists who helps the lonely creator in spite of the "world and his wife," and in spite of the dogmatism and stupidity of those in authority over him in his own profession. I love Daumier's water color of the Collector, alone with his treasures, lounging in his slippers and dressing gown in the depths of an easy chair. He contemplates in contentment these spoils of the spirit of extraordinary men and he dreams perhaps of how he can communicate to the many who "have eyes and see not" the ability to appreciate the beautiful, to the end that artists may not be without reward in their own day and without honor in their own country.

Many men of special gifts were contained in Daumier. He was a caricaturist but he was just as much a mystic. He was at heart a romantic poet and he was actually a realistic painter. He was a patriot and therefore a propagandist, but he was also an artist and a technical experimenter far in advance of his age. He was celebrated chiefly for his scathing satire, but the works by which he most wished to be remembered were small, quiet canvases in which he revealed to kindred spirits his love and reverence for spiritual things. Few people know him as the painter of *The Good Samaritan*, nor have I ever seen this painting, yet I know I would take off my hat to it as to the work of the real Daumier.

APPRECIATIONS

Perhaps the most significant subject he ever undertook was Christ Mocked. The head of the Saviour is seen in silhouette above the howling, gesticulating mob, very pale, showing a soul very sensitive to all these insults and yet proud and calm and patient, victorious over the torments of the hour. Here Daumier expressed his inmost soul's protest against the mean business of mockery in which, because of his aptitude for seeing the ludicrous side of everything, he himself had been so much employed. Here he appealed to his race and fellow countrymen, begging them not to mock so much, to judge less harshly when they cannot see. Daumier had been a fighter but he had always played fair and had fought for the sake of an ideal. He had exposed base passions conscientiously but he had a repulsion for vice and avoided unnecessary indecency. In this he differs from Rabelais with whom he has been compared. Of his four thousand cartoons, there is not one that is unclean, an amazing record for a French humourist.

Daumier's individuality was so marked, his absorption in novel subjects and great emotions so unmistakable that Mr. W. C. Brownell would not have accepted him as a representative French artist. That fine critic has convinced us that "French art does not contain enough personal flavour to escape conventionality. To be sure exceptional personalities come to the surface and emerge from

HONORÉ DAUMIER

the mass to which culture gives its conventional uniformity. But these are the men of genius. The fact remains that mind is what counts in French art—not emotion. When the French enter the realm of sentiment they are in danger of becoming either fantastic or conventional. Their technic itself is sapient rather than sensuous. The divinity which presides over every aesthetic shrine is Taste. Naturally the rule of taste results in rigid standards following the tyranny of the mode. Nowhere is fashion so exacting. Hence the development of ‘Schools,’ the erection of methods into systems.” We are reminded of Athenian culture but the critic remarks that the French have the Athenian sanity but not the serenity nor the spirituality of the antique world. “Agitated mind counts with them more than tranquil emotion.” Their philosophy intrudes. Thus Millet’s sentiment is mentally preoccupied by his pervasive interest in the French peasant. And if Brownell had chosen to bring Daumier into the discussion, he might have said that he also was too emotional to be a representative French artist and too much preoccupied (with his street types), too conscious of their background, in a word, too romantic, to be of the true classic breed.

Throughout Mr. Brownell’s masterly analysis of French Traits, the name of Daumier is not once mentioned, and only a passing

APPRECIATIONS

reference to him is made in the earlier volume "French Art." This seems to me most strange, for I recognize in Daumier the French aesthetic genius, not at its most ordinary level, but at its best. Even with Daumier, mind counted more than sentiment, and to be precise, such emotion as he displayed in his art was philosophical and humane rather than poetic. Of course his early adoption of lithography as a profession and his long continued career as a cartoonist left little time for the dreams and moods required by the poet. But this was not altogether a compulsion by circumstance. It was essentially his own choice. The caricaturist's habit of mind more or less clung to him when he later attempted flights of pure fancy, and his symbolism and his sentiment were accented with curiously fantastic emphasis. I have already stressed his philosophical solicitude. And objective pity it was, whereas Rembrandt's was subjective. I have noted his concern for form, for structure, for symmetry, his rather restrained palette. All these qualities are described as truly French in Brownell's analysis. But Daumier of course was one of the "exceptional geniuses." He was certainly not consistently French to the extent of being like the lesser men, conventional, restrained, elegant and correct. He represents the classic virtues of French art at their crest when conventions can at last be overturned and new standards, new

HONORÉ DAUMIER

methods, a new viewpoint, established on the old foundations. His function in the history of modern art was to discover the romance of reality, to fuse form and color, style and character, to make men and women out of modernity instead of lay figures out of mythology serve as symbols of universal significance. Such artists as Meunier, Degas, Rodin, Forain, Carrière, Picasso and Cézanne, have at different times and in different manners, followed where he led, but they have seldom proved worthy of his inspiration. Behind the complex nineteenth century satire and its romantic ardours, and behind the thoroughly modern philosophical realism in Daumier was the classic spirit, not only sane, but serene in spite of its emotions, and spiritual in spite of its skepticism, seeking to symbolize with monumental, elemental forms and grandiose contours the significance of human life. It was his French logic derived from classic sources which made him the first painter to depict the lives of the poor on the streets of Paris and, through them, to suggest the essential meaning of everything. For is it not logical that a modern artist should interpret his own world and time, that he should use his own eyes to observe life at first hand, that he should prefer street types, unconscious of being observed and of a simple class whose gestures are unrestrained, to self-conscious studio models? And is it not logical that an artist

APPRECIATIONS

should learn that first lesson of art which teaches a man to find out what he is and what he can do best, and admonishes him to pursue that course whether it takes him over some old trail or impels him to blaze a new one? After all, his fine logic makes Daumier both French and Classic in spite of his passionate romanticism.

In conclusion I wish merely to express once more the hope that the dead are aware of what we think of them. It would be comforting to feel that Daumier, that great, good man, realizes how today we crown him a veritable king of art. He possessed in abundance just those qualities we prize most in an artist. His disciples are the most distinguished draftsmen, painters and sculptors of our day, and those who show his influence, in one way or another, are too numerous to mention. However much he may seem to us the very pinnacle of French genius, yet his gifts are of a kind which we may all claim, for he conferred upon the world a language which is, in the most profound sense, our universal heritage.

HONORÉ DAUMIER

By Frank Jewett Mather, Jr.

WHEN Charles Daubigny first saw the frescoes of Raphael he exclaimed—"It's Daumier." Offhand the paradox was absurd, for what likeness could there be betwixt the serene urbanity of the creator of the School of Athens and the drastic pungency of the creator of *Les Avocats*? But the analogies which come uncalled to a sincere and simple spirit like Daubigny's are always right, and never negligible. Daubigny hit with great precision the psychological bond between two great artists, neither of whom depended much on immediate transcription from nature, both of whom thought a composition through to completeness of the mental picture before beginning to work. This lucid mental preparation is really more important in the work of Daumier than the evident power and only apparent spontaneity of his powerful stroke.

Here there has been grave misunderstanding. People, and particularly painters, imagine in Daumier a fury of creation before the object, imagine some inner similarity between his sketches and those which Rodin flung off so impetuously or those paintings which Van Gogh beat out in a kind of anguish. As a matter of record, Daumier almost never drew from nature at all, and

HONORÉ DAUMIER

never composed even the slightest design for the press without a period of reflection. His art is wholly an art of second thought, and really much nearer to that of Raphael than to that of the modern Expressionists who without understanding either his mind or his methods naturally seek the sanction of his great name.

— Daumier's habit was to make the most searching observations from nature, but without chalk or sketch-book in hand. He stalked his game assiduously—the tricky lawyer, the flirtatious wife, the ridiculous husband, the barnstorming actor, the stupid monarch—the whole human comedy of his time, but the mental record was all that he needed. These memories were turned over until they reshaped themselves under some leading idea, and the actual work was generally not undertaken until the idea and the accompanying images had reached a kind of bursting point. In short, instead of beginning with an overpowering emotion, Daumier reaches it by way of reflection. The more the idea becomes clarified, the greater intensity it gains. It finally emerges hot and vibrant with a ruddy cast of thought. Indeed Daumier is perhaps the best possible example to show that the passion which is available for great art is not immediate passion, which is transitory and really weak, but passion which has drawn abiding warmth and energy from the intellectual fires.

APPRECIATIONS

Daumier's method of working from visual memories rather than from nature was that of two friends and contemporaries, the witty caricaturist and social chronicler, Constantin Guys; and the creator of the epic of toil, Jean François Millet. A method which is good enough to produce both the featherlight crispness and spontaneity of Guys and the grave and noble poetry of Millet certainly is a flexible one and not lightly to be disregarded by the artist. To repeat, the dæmonic energy of Daumier's workmanship, the writhing of a coarse line, the just impact of a blob of black, the impetuous jab of the scraper on the lithographic stone are all premeditated, not necessarily in consciousness, but premeditated all the same, and every purposeful smudge of his crayon is as much mixed with brains as were Sir Joshua's colors.

Here is the explanation of the proverbial dilatoriness of Daumier. It really meant time to think things out, or perhaps more correctly to let them think themselves out. He knew the value of his foible, telling the sensitive poet, Théodore de Banville: "I work from morning to night because I must, but at bottom I am lazier than a thousand dormice. And when I can get out of the daily toil to which I am condemned, then my laziness suggests to me the most astounding inventions." Daumier's few paintings are the precious record of such moments of creative laziness. You will

HONORÉ DAUMIER

have to go to the triangular coves of the Sistine Chapel to find anything more astounding, whether as impressive mass or as intense and sombre sentiment, than, for example the little painting of a mother and child in the John G. Johnson collection. And since this rich and noble melancholy underlies even the most irresistibly funny sheets of Daumier, so that, observing them, a philosopher would, while shaking with laughter, be inclined also to weep for his fellow men, it is pleasant to learn from the invaluable sketch of Banville, how Daumier worked as he achieved his most savage inventions. As he manipulated the incredibly unhandy butts of chalk, which as a prudent obstacle to mere improvisation he preferred to better tools, he usually hummed a comic song.

The whole passage in which Banville describes Daumier's way of working is enlightening:

“He always drew with the debris of the same old crayons, making up his mind to remould them only when he couldn't do otherwise, but more often bringing back to life despite themselves the chalk-ends which could no longer even be cut, with which one must manage, finding an angle which suited the feverish caprice of the ready hand, an edge a thousand times more varied and intelligent than the stupid and perfect point made by the penknife, which breaks or crumbles in the pressure of designing. I would

APPRECIATIONS

gladly say that to this habit of using these shreds, scraps and ends of crayon, which cried out to be spared and never were, that Daumier owed something of the breadth and audacity of his drawing, in which the coarse and living outline is of the same stuff as the shadows and hatchings—did I not know that such results are not explained by such little causes.”

All the same the picture of Daumier at work is a real parable. In such fashion as he treated the odds and ends of his working tools, he treated the inchoate spectacle of life as the outer eye sees it. The world of mean ambition, political and legal hypocrisy, pedantic pretensions, futile miseries, and as fruitless joys, became something other as his mind grasped the shards and indications and forced them to work. Mean and trivial material took on something of the eager magnanimity of his own mind (for a tenacious satirist was never more free from mere spleen or from personal vanity) and reshaped itself in forms of highest dignity and permanence. Charles Daubigny was almost obviously right when he grasped the common act of mental transformation and enhancement which makes both a lithograph by Daumier and a fresco by Raphael at once the most unlike anything that any ordinary person can see and as well the most real thing conceivable.

It was a minor but a very real service of Daumier to dissipate

HONORÉ DAUMIER

the prevailing academic confusion between artistic greatness and mere monumental bigness, in the physical sense. It was the constant insistence of his early admirers that he, an illustrator, was as great as the greatest, that made men look beyond dimensions to the size and scale of the creative thought. Of course it was a lesson that might as easily have been read from the prints of Rembrandt or the pastels of Millet. The modern schools sadly misread the lesson when they assume that just because a thing is small, slight and ultra-emphatic it is great. The greatness, of course, is in the mind of the artist, and it becomes beauty where he sets it down, our task being merely, as Delacroix writes, "to find beauty where the artist puts it." The maxim needs only the proviso that we are free to believe the artist or not when he insists he is putting beauty about. We shall evidently not find beauty where the artist has put something else. I think that the critics who early discovered the energetic and manly beauty of the designs of Daumier rendered an incomparable service to modern art. Chief among these critics was Charles Baudelaire, who with all his tinge of degeneracy, was unquestionably the finest critical intelligence on matters of art that France produced in the late romantic period. I can imagine no prouder qualification for a journalist critic than to have written in 1845, as Baudelaire does simply by the way, on the drawing

APPRECIATIONS

of Delacroix, Daumier and Ingres. Baudelaire objects to the common talk of the studios that Delacroix drew badly:

“We know only two men at Paris who draw as well as M. Delacroix, one in a similar, one in a contrary manner. One is M. Daumier, the caricaturist; the other M. Ingres, the great painter, the wily adorer of Raphael. Here surely is something to astound the friends and the enemies, the partisans and the antagonists. But with a quiet and studious attention, everyone will see that these three kinds of drawing have this in common, that they render perfectly the aspect of nature which they wish to render, and that they say just what they mean to say. Daumier draws perhaps better than Delacroix, if one prefers the normal and wholesome qualities, to the strange and astonishing qualities of a great genius whose genius is a kind of malady; M. Ingres, so enamored of detail, draws better perhaps than both others, if one prefers laborious refinements to the harmony of the whole, and the character of the *morceau* to the character of the composition, but . . . let us love all three of them.”

With two or three well-chosen words Baudelaire has hit off the leading traits both of Daumier's work and of his personality. We are in a realm of solidly grounded health and normality—a high tableland of temperament from which adventures towards

HONORÉ DAUMIER

the stars or towards the abysses seem natural enough. Daumier in bondage or free, in prison or out, with equal nobility, conferring or receiving favors, is never unequal to himself. Through thousands of designs his raciness and power are unflagging. It should be noted that his drawings are, practically without exception, complete compositions. Working with his mind unsparingly at all preliminary stages of creation, he saved his hand for the culminating moment. To put the matter in terms of the efficiency expert, probably no great artist has made fewer lost motions. The creative moment comes in all its purity, uncontaminated by a series of tentatives and failures; all the processes of preliminary selection and rejection being mental. This does not mean to say that Daumier's method is universally available, only that the very great artists generally reach a stage where mental preparation and memory are sufficient foundation for creation. It should be recalled that if Daumier's final execution was feverish and impetuous, it remained cautious to the end. His original lithographic stones show all sorts of corrections and erasures, but the task of execution is always unbroken, never spread out. It should be recalled also that such seeing as Daumier's was worth more than most artists' sketches and portfolios. To many a fine artist it is not given to see with such initial intensity or to hold the essentials

APPRECIATIONS

of the observation so long and clearly in mind. Such capacities are rare and rather a mark of the supreme spirits than a goal for every artist.

Daumier's few pictures were inadequately appreciated during his lifetime, being naturally overshadowed by the copiousness of his work as an illustrator. And possibly we are only beginning to grasp the importance of these few panels and canvases. They cut sharply athwart the main pictorial ambition of the last century, which was to attain unprecedented richness, variety and expressiveness of color. They counter equally the practice of Monet and the theory of Cézanne. In a manner they point back to Rembrandt, showing that color is merely instrumental, and the main thing the effective creation of a coherent world the validity of which is simply emotional and mental. This doctrine is being used today to cover all sorts of eccentricities and sensationalisms in the name of expression. Fundamentally it is not important that the artist should express himself, but rather that he should have in himself something that is worth expressing. This is a question that never can be begged, the artist has to prove it. The superiority of Daumier was in the broadest sense moral, consisting of his lucidity, his unshaken *respect humain*, his self-respect, his stalwart independence and his docile traditionalism. He never indulged the foolish

HONORÉ DAUMIER

thought that brand new aesthetic values could or should be attained by taking thought in a vacuum. His values were as old as humanity itself, as laughter and tears and scorn, his task merely to give them a new concentration and emphasis. Thus Daumier has never been in any way difficult or recondite except to tastes in some way spoiled through false education. Paris hailed him from the first, and fellow artists as various as Corot, Rousseau, and Millet accepted his greatness unquestioningly. He had the commonness that always goes with greatness. If the artist can really make his mind a place where beauty can be put and will stay, it will also be a place too where his fellow men will want to sojourn. The simplicity with which Daumier approached this ordeal of the artist is best expressed in his pictures, precisely because he is in them free from the journalistic requirement of exuberance. In them, accordingly, he has given the completest image of his own mind, of its massiveness, its emotional depth, its rather simple mystery. With a complete faith in himself and his fellows, he assumes that the most straightforward and simple assertion of his own notion of his world will suffice. It is the better for its mere sufficient intimations of color, just as pages of the Bible are better without overt figures of speech or the obvious enrichments of poetry. No one has approached the difficult task of complete self-

APPRECIATIONS

expression with more discretion, modesty, power, and solidarity with mankind generally.

It is a road that only the great spirits may safely travel. There is room for representation, experiment, showers of color—naturalistic and abstract. What is unavoidable is the issue of judgment. It must, as Leonardo wrote five centuries ago, ever be better than the work, or the work itself is null. Within these limits we do well to follow Baudelaire's catholic counsel and love all excellent work. The best will always have a harmonious and essentially mysterious balance between judgment and passion, and perhaps the formula that most nearly comprises the grandeur of Honoré Daumier is that which aged Auguste Rodin, in days when his own judgment and work were in very uneasy balance, invented for the Cathedral of Chartres. With a change of gender it serves for Daumier—

“Il est sage avec une passion intense.”

HONORÉ DAUMIER

By Guy Pène du Bois

THERE must be numberless reasons why satirists are rarely put in the first rank of pictorial art. The principal one, probably the least valid of all, rests in that they do not obviously deal in beauty. Perhaps it is a part of the world's economy, as it is of governments, to demand tribute; to desire that the press be favorable. Criticism, we are constantly told, if there must be criticism, is valueless except when it is constructive. In this argument the man who makes way for the builder by clearing the forest does not count. He is destructive. Voltaire and Swift, Hogarth and Daumier slapped their epochs. Their fault is greater in that the slaps were so well delivered that the clearing was thorough. No generation will entirely forgive them. Youth is idealistic and old age clings to its memories.

Had Daumier been as idealistic as Rembrandt, for example, he would have been considered equally great. Daumier scratched the reverse side of the slate. It does not seem to matter that it was the same slate. Both had faith in man. One lauded him for his virtue, the other belabored him for falling short of it. The possibility of virtue is therefore admitted by both. However, if subject matter were carried into the final judgment of a work of

HONORÉ DAUMIER

art, Milton's Coney Island Paradise would be a long time dead. It is unquestionable that this generation in America is better prepared to accept the paintings of Daumier than any of its predecessors. His slaps are delivered at persons in other costumes than ours. He is politically in better accord. He is disarmed by distance. He has none of the biting whimsicality of the aristocratic Lautrec, none of Degas' cool dandyism. He is philosophically a Republican. Rembrandt, with some slight marks of respect for the privileged class, was that, and Courbet, with his remnant of romanticism, of a dying habit of elaboration. The idea of the substantial burgher which was given in the squat compositions of Seventeenth Century Dutch painters, with right angles, and square canvases, has carried into all Republican paintings since that time. It is undoubtedly a middle-class form, the form which is the backbone of the Republican state and built upon the generous lines of commercial integrity, symbol of bourgeois virtue.

Hating pretense, Daumier is himself bare of all signs of it. He hits from the shoulder without subtlety. Though he is not Knut Hamsun's "great barge of a man," yet he would be immediately understood by him. He is a tremendous distance from wit, a man to be at sea amid the flashing passes of courtiers. Imagine him sweeping them aside with an enormously weighty gesture. He

APPRECIATIONS

deals only in fundamentals. Some great artists have been aesthetic to the point of exoticism. They are artists' artists. Artists are usually dilettante. They see nature through their favorite pictures: a supersensitive minority they, the twelve men who would have satisfied Huysmans. Lovers of fragile, subtle and irrelevant bon mots, traders in rareties, they are men intrigued by chiselled sentences, by the five-leaved clovers of culture. Daumier would have had no more use for them than he had for the "bas bleus" of his period. It is not easy to imagine him admiring Botticelli or, had he lived long enough, Beardsley. It is curious that he was one of Baudelaire's admirations, but then Baudelaire for all his Poicism was a fine judge of art. He condemned Ary Schaeffer against the opinion of the world and of Théophile Gautier. He stood by Delacroix and Meryon.

Daumier sought a balance. He might have been Diogenes. In his drawings he assailed all human affectations, at times hurriedly and impatiently, with a slap-stick. This never appears in his paint. He was known widely as a draughtsman, to a comparative few as a painter. His paintings are rare. His *Third Class Carriage*, which, at the Borden sale brought \$45,000, is the best known example on this side of the water. In paint is the full measure of the man, a deep throated voice, a rich nature, a

HONORÉ DAUMIER

language which, despite its tremendous directness and economy, never for a moment hints at barrenness. The man has a heart. He is a colorist; a colorist of a long line who derive color through the use of form rather than through the actual use of color. Rembrandt belongs in this line, and Millet, who, though a romanticist, had not looked vainly at Daumier's paint.

The solid qualities of that paint are a reflection of the man. The subject is of comparative insignificance, as subject always is. It does not matter that Daumier attacked lawyers as Molière did doctors. The rhythm which is the gait of his soul is outside of it. The final judgment of him will and must be made upon his *style*. That Daumier was a satirist is not the primary consideration. That he was a man who, getting definite reactions from the life about him, created art, and that this art, aside from its literary import, had the force and beauty which must come from a real love of truth, is not only an essential consideration, it is the only one worth bothering about.

HONORÉ DAUMIER

By Mahonri Young

“THERE is something of Michael Angelo in Daumier,” said Balzac. We all know Daubigny’s exclamation upon seeing Raphael’s *Stanza*. If to these two points of view we add a certain far remembrance of Greece and a distant affinity to Rembrandt in the luminous sense of space and enveloping air in his painting we get some idea of the diverse strains of influence which go into the making of the unique, artistic personality of Honoré Daumier;—caricaturist, satirist, illustrator on wood, lithographer, painter and sculptor. In his rich personality are gathered, as at a focus, a group of the most vital strains of the past. This is no mechanical and artificial gathering together of great qualities, as was attempted by the Carracci and certain modern artists, but is a genuine coming together in one figure of a number of great strains as we find in the world of nature. This was hardly conscious, I believe; Daumier was no eclectic. These strains entered into the stream of his blood and were part of the very fibre of his bone and sinew. His was a very rich inheritance from the past indeed. If this were all, we still might have only the spectacle of an artist rich in inheritance but impotent. But in no sense was this fine consummation negative, on the contrary, it was intensely

HONORÉ DAUMIER

active. With roots driven deep into the past its branches and leaves were thrust boldly into the future. It is a curious fact that Daumier's peer, contemporary and friend, Jean-François Millet, should have combined in about like proportions the same artistic lineage. I do not think that here was any conscious imitation but rather one of nature's parallelisms. Artistically, Daumier and Millet are of the same stuff. They dealt with form in the same large, synthetic way; they were alike in their classic sense of order; they had similar powers over light and space. They also dealt entirely with fundamentals in a large and grand manner. Millet was, perhaps, the more conscious and the more direct observer, as he was the more classic artist. There was more of Poussin in him. His achievement was more complete. Daumier had more power, more vehemence, more go.

As we examine his work we find the same richness of quality, but changed, profoundly affected by a vigorous and vivid personality. The qualities are there, powerful as ever, but in the resultant achievement we are conscious of chagrin. These glorious powers were never to flower and fructify in their complete fullness—the result of circumstance. This frustration by circumstance was the malady of the art of the nineteenth century, a period which, in artistic talent and genius, is equal to any but the very greatest

APPRECIATIONS

centuries, but which in achievement, falls so lamentably short. Its course is strewn with wrecks and failures, partial and complete, of its best and rarest. Most of its men of genius were either tragedies, partial or complete, or thwarted and turned from their course if tough enough to persist. Daumier was no exception. When we view the vast bulk of his published work, and inspired hack work it was, approximately 4000 lithographs and 1000 drawings on wood, we are aghast at his prolific output. If this were all, if he had let it rest at that, if he had not done his drawings in pen and ink, in sepia, his water colors, his few and rarely finished paintings, his essays in sculpture, we should never have had this sense of incomplete achievement. In these paintings, which found no market, these drawings done for his own pleasure, and in this sculpture we find qualities carried to a higher plane, to a plane only attained occasionally in his regular work as lithographer. There is no feeling of a lack of ability in him to carry these highest qualities through, but a feeling of his not being allowed to. His world did not want his best; it was pleased with his second best; the thing he could do for a living, and so it chained him to the wheel of his caricature. This is not entirely to be regretted, for though we are not allowed to gorge our fill on the richest viands of his feast, we are allowed to sample them,

HONORÉ DAUMIER

and our only regret can be that these richest viands are not there in greater quantity. The quality is so superior we would have the quantity greater. We regret, that, with a Rubens-like power of output we should have been cheated of its full fruition.

As an influence Daumier is to be seen in many men. Over certain black and white artists his spirit glows with a beneficent effulgence. We need only mention Forain, Steinlen and Boardman Robinson. Forain's etching developed from Daumier's pen drawings and from the drawings of Rembrandt. Manet's use of the patch of tone or color is to be discerned in more than one of Daumier's lithographs and paintings. He antedated Degas in many of his innovations. His sculpture has been continued by Meunier. These are only a few of the greatest.

Hardly enough attention has been bestowed on his drawings for the wood engravers. They number, I believe, something like a thousand, and extend over almost the whole of his career. They also cover practically the whole development of the modern wood block. Starting with drawings made with a pen or hard pencil in line on the block, they were cut as black line; they were engraved as facsimile wood engravings and finally they were photographed on to the block and then engraved. None of the processes seemed to have interested him much—he merely made the drawings.

APPRECIATIONS

His sculpture consists of a number of small study heads in painted clay made early in his career for the grand lithograph—
2 *Vente Législatif*. They are rapidly and boldly modeled, the subjects are very much caricatured or burlesqued, and they show in every touch modeling of genius. The *Ratapoll* is a standing figure, 1 a caricature of Napoleon the Third. It, too, is freely and vigorously modeled. The other remaining piece is a bas-relief of a subject *The Fugitives* many times done in paint, but nowhere greater than here. It is fragmentary, but full of abounding life, vigorous line and great qualities of modeling. It makes you wish there were more like it. It is his peak in sculpture and is also one of the greatest of his works.

With Daumier one could go on for days; he is so large, so diverse, so intriguing, so stimulating. I will leave for the present any discussion of his paintings, his lithographs, his drawings, and close as I began with a quotation. Degas had shown George Moore a Daumier which he did not appreciate. Degas' remark was "If you were to show Raphael a Daumier he would admire it, he would take off his hat, but if you were to show him a Cabanel he would say, with a sigh, 'that is my fault'." To some of us Daumier was potentially the greatest artist of his century.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Album charivarique

Passé temps de la campagne. (A collection of caricatures by H. Daumier, Edouard de Beaumont, Bouchot, Cham. and Charles Vernier.) Paris: Martinet. (185-?) 40 l. ob. 4°.

Alexandre, Arsène

Daumier Honoré. 1888. Bibliographie.

Alboy, Maurice and L. Huart

Les cent Robert Macaire. Composés et dessinés par H. Daumier, texte par M. Alboy et L. Huart. Paris. Journal pour rire (187-?) 100 pl. 4°.

Alboy, Maurice and Louis Huart

Les cent et un Robert-Macaire composés et dessinés par M. Daumier, sur les idées et les légendes de Ch. Philippon, réduits et lithographiés par MM—. Texte par MM—Alboy et ... Huart. Paris: Aubert & Cie., 1840. 2 vols. 4°. Fully illustrated. Vol. 2 has date of 1839.

Baudelaire

Curiosités Esthétiques.

Bertels, Kurt

Honoré Daumier, als lithograph. Munchen: R. Piper & Co., 1908. 150 p. illus. 4°. (Klassische Illustratoren). v. 4°.

Cary, Edward

Honoré Daumier. 2 pl. (Scrip. New York, 1906. 8°. v. 1, p. 171-178.)

Cham, Pseud. of Amédée de Noé

1819-1879, and H. Daumier. Album du siège, par Cham et Daumier. Recueil de caricatures publiées pendant le siège dans le Charivari. (Paris): Aux bureaux du Charivari (1871). 1 p. l., 39 pl. f°.

Champfleury

Exposition des peintures et dessins de H. Daumier. . . . Notice biographique par Champfleury. Paris: Gautnier-Villars, 1878. 86 p, 1 port, nar. 4°.

Champfleury

Histoire de la Caricature Moderne.

Claretie M. Jules

Peintres et sculpteurs 1882.

Curtis, Atherton

Some Masters of Lithography. 1 p. l., xvi, 187 p., 21 pl. 1 port. New York: D. Appleton & Co., 1897. f°.

Daumier, Honoré

Some war cartoons par Honoré Daumier, and a note on his American disciples. illus. (Arts & decoration. New York, 1917. f°. v. 7, p. 408-409, 428.)

Daumier, Honoré

Une lithographe bordelaise de Daumier. illus. (Revue Philomathique. Bordeaux, 1917, 8° Année 1920, p. 112-113.)

Daumier Sculpteur

L'Art et Les Artistes. 1905.

Daumier

A volume in the collection of "Les Grands artistes."

Daumier

A volume in the collection "L'Art de Notre Temps." Léon Rosenthal.

Daumier, Honoré, 1808-1879

Album comique, par C. (sic) Daumier. Paris: Lith. Desteuches. (184?). 28 pl. f°.

Daumier, Honoré

Honoré Daumier. A collection of his social and political caricatures together with an introductory essay on his art. By E. L. Cary. With seventy-six illustrations. New York: G. P. Putnam's Sons, 1907. v. 185 p. 1 port. illus. 8°.

Daumier, Honoré

Album des charges du jour, 30 lithographies par H. Daumier. Paris (1871?) 11, 30 pl. ob. 4°.

Daumier, Honoré

Panorama comique par Daumier. 36 sujets: coquetterie—silhouettes—monomanes—Scènes grotesques—sentiments et passions. Paris: L. Pannier & Cie. (187-?) 11, 36 pl. f°.

HONORÉ DAUMIER

Daumier, Honoré and C. Vernier

Ces bons Autrichiens. Albums par Daumier et Charles Vernier. Paris: Bureau du Charivari, (1859) 1., 27 pl. ob. 4°.

Daumier, Honoré and others

Le grand abécédaire en action, dessins par MM. Daumier, Gavarni, Johannot, et autres artistes. Paris: Aubert & Cie., (185-?) 96 p. illus. 4°.

Daumier Peintre et Dessinateur

Munich 1908 by M. Klossowski.

Delteil, Loys

Honoré Daumier. 1 pl. illus. (Print-collector's quar. Boston, 1906. 8° v. 6, p. 3-36.)

Duranty

Daumier. illus. (Gazette des Beaux-Arts. Paris, 1878. 4°. Période 2, tome 17, p. 429-443, 528-544.)

Études à propos des Expositions (Les)

De 1889 à 1900. MM. Roger Marx, André Michel.

Fantaisies parisiennes

Album d'artistes en tous genres, contenant 900 caricatures, vignettes, lettrines, fleurons, ornements....etc., de Daumier, Gavarni, Meissonier....et autres célèbres artistes, gravés par Messieurs: Barra, Birouste, Deghony, (etc.) Édition Currier. Paris: Delaunay, (186-?) 17-88 p. of pl. 2 1. 8°.

Frantz, Henry

The works of Daumier, illus. (International studio. New York, 1913. 4°. v. 49, p. 188-194.)

Frantz, Henri

Daumier and Gavarni, with critical and biographical notes by H. Frantz and O. Uzanne. Edited by C. Holme, London: The Studio, 1904. 4 pl., D. xx, D. 56, G. xxxvi, G 63 p., 2 facsim., 22 pl., 2 port, illus. sq. 4°.

Fuchs, Edouard

Daumiers Russenkarikaturen. Ills. (Kunst und Kunstler. Berlin, 1914, 8°. Jahrg. 13, p. 134-136.)

Galerie Helbing, Munich

Auktionskatalog von Kupferstichen, Radierungen, Holzschnitten des XV.-XIX. Jahrhunderts; Handzeichnungen und Aquarellen alter und neuerer Meister japanischen Original-Farbholzschnitten sowie einer interessanten Sammlung von Lithographien von Honoré Daumier aus verschiedenem Besitze. Auktion in München in der Galerie Helbing....den 8 und....den 9, April 1917. (München: H. Beck, 1907.) 39 p. 4°.

Geoffroy, M.

Two articles in Revue de l'art ancien et moderne.

Grande Ville (La)

Nouveau tableau de Paris, comique, critique et philosophique, par P. de Kock, Balzac, Dumas, Soulie (etc.) Illus. de Gavarni, V. Adam, Daumier....(etc.) Paris: Marecq. 1844. 2 vols. 4°.

Hazard, N. A., and L. Delteil.

Catalogue raisonné de l'œuvre lithographie de Honoré Daumier; orné d'un portrait gravé à l'eau forte par Loys Delteil, et de cent quarante reproductions d'après les lithographies les plus belles et les plus rares du maître. Orrouy (Oise): N. A. Hazard, 1904. 2 p. l., x, 841 p., 11, 1 port, 4°.

James, Henry

Picture and Text, by Henry James. New York, Harper & Bros. 1893.

Kabn, Gustave

Daumier. 1 pl. illus. (Gazette des Beaux-Arts. Paris, 1901. 4°. Période 3, tome 25, p. 483, 492.)

Maîtres humoristes (Les)

Les meilleurs dessins, les meilleures légendes. (Paris: F. Juven 1907-08) 3 v. pl. 4°.

BIBLIOGRAPHY

Marcel, Henry

Honoré Daumier, Biographie critique... Paris: H. Laurens, (1907) 128 p. illus. 8°. (Les grands artistes. Leur vie. Leur œuvre.)

Marcel, Henry

Article sur l'Art et les Mœurs en France. 1909.

Roessler, Arthur

Honoré Daumier. Illus. (Bildende Künstler, Wien, 1911. 8°. 1911. p. 203-213, 216, 217, 219, 221.)

Ruemann, Arthur

Honoré Daumier, sein Holzschnittwerk. Text und Katalog von A. Rümann. München: Delphin-Verlag. 1914. 4 p. l., 203 P., illus. F°.

Seaver, Henry L.

Daumier's lithographs. illus. (Print-collector's Quarterly, Boston, 1914. 12°. v. 4, p. 61-82.)

Syndicat de la presse artistique

Exposition Daumier. Catalogue. (Paris) Palais de l'écoles des Beaux-Arts, 1901. 62., 11., 1 pl. 12°.

Voll, Karl, 1867-

Frankreichklassische Zeichner im XIX. Jahrhundert. Von Karl Voll München: Holbein-Berlag, 1914, 57 (1) p., 11, 64 pl. illus. 4°.

Voll, Karl

Honoré Daumiers Kunst im Dienste de politischen Karikatur. illus. (Kunst für Alle, München, 1915, 4°. Jahrg. 30, p. 386-399.)



Honoré Daumier



Honoré Daumier



Portrait of Daumier as a Young Man



Le Peintre devant son tableau



La Blanchisseuse





La Blanchisseuse



La République



Le Coup de vent, ou Les Fugitifs



Les Emigrants, ou Les Fugitifs



Don Quixote



Ecce homo, ou Nous voulons Barrabas





Le Meunier, son Fils et son Âne



Trois Avocats



Mère et Enfant



Le Repos des Saltimbanques



Hercule de foire



Scène de la Révolution



Le Malade imaginaire



La Lecture du manuscrit



Paysans dinant



Le Wagon de 3e classe



Les Baigneurs



Don Quixote



H. ARAGO
Ministre.



ODINOT



CHEVALIER
Député.



JACQUES SÉFÈVRE
Député et banquier.

Caricatures in Painted Clay



Les Fugitifs de la sagesse



Au Théâtre français



L'Arrivée du train



Un Wagon de troisième classe



Dans l'atelier d'un artiste



L'Amateur



La Chanson à boire



Le Défenseur



Après l'audience



Les Jaseurs



L'Ivresse de Silène



Don Quixote



Pen and Ink Drawing



Drawing



123. Nachdenklich



124. Kindermädchen



125. Der Gratulant



126. Dolce far niente

Woodcuts



Une Promenade sur la rive de la Seine à l'époque de la canicule



Dans la maison de retraite à deux heures du soir



Le Théâtre



Les Patrons



Jules Favre



Jour de naissance de Papa



Le Bain chaud



D'ici vous pouvez voir la Tour de St. Jacques



Un Jour de Revue



Aux Champs-Élysées

6508 027

W

THE BORROWER WILL BE CHARGED
AN OVERDUE FEE IF THIS BOOK IS NOT
RETURNED TO THE LIBRARY ON OR
BEFORE THE LAST DATE STAMPED
BELOW. NON-RECEIPT OF OVERDUE
NOTICES DOES NOT EXEMPT THE
BORROWER FROM OVERDUE FEES.

RECEIVED

MAY 14 1990

FINE ARTS

JAN 06 2002

BOOK DUE

FINE ARTS

JAN 06 2002
FEB 03 2002

BOOK DUE